



# **TECHNICAL APPENDIX I**

## **TECHNICAL CRITERIA SCORING**



# Technical Criteria Scoring

The application of technical criteria is an important way to measure and evaluate transportation projects relative to the Connect 2045 goals and objectives. These measures also provide us with a strategy that helps translate a variety of project attributes into an overall score and priority ranking. By measuring critical factors such as safety, environmental justice, freight, tourism, and resource protection, we're able to quantify and compare the benefits of various transportation projects and inform project selection. These criteria are an integral component of the planning process that provide meaningful direction.

Applicable roadway projects from the Needs Assessment were identified and evaluated based upon criteria to inform prioritization and selection of projects for inclusion in the Cost Feasible Plan. Because the focus of revenue within the Cost Feasible Plan is on the Other Arterials category, this set of projects was the primary emphasis for technical criteria scoring. Any evaluation of SIS and local projects was for informational purposes only.

The project evaluation categories and criteria were guided by the policy direction of the approved Connect 2045 goals (see Chapter 2) and with consideration of planning factors and Transportation Performance Measures as required under the federal FAST Act. Additionally, certain criteria address other state and/or federal requirements. These criteria are noted in applicable sections throughout the plan. Technical criteria scoring was just one factor considered when prioritizing and selecting projects. Other considerations influenced selection decisions such as the TPO's policy to protect certain projects based upon current priority status, the position of projects in the approved 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan and 2019 List of Priority Projects, and the collaboration and input of citizens and elected officials in ensuring these criteria measures represent the collective priorities of the community.

The projects were evaluated by the LRTP Subcommittee utilizing the evaluation criteria, shown in **Table 1**, that was reviewed and recommended by citizen and technical advisory committees and then approved by the TPO Board. Each of the evaluation categories is intended to advance one or more goals of Connect 2045, which were developed to be consistent with the FAST Act planning factors. **Table 1** also includes information on the methodology utilized for determining the initial score for each project. A variety of sources were used to provide a comprehensive, yet flexible, method of scoring in order to both evaluate objective measures and recognize unique dynamics and input on various projects provided by the TPO Committees, Board, Staff, and consultant team. The results of the technical criteria scoring of projects as presented to the LRTP Subcommittee on June 1, 2020 is included as **Attachment A**.

# Technical Criteria Scoring

Table 1 - Project Prioritization Matrix including Evaluation Sources/Methodology

Priority Evaluation Category	Connect 2045 Goals Implemented	Criteria Description	Source/Methodology for Evaluation	Criteria Scoring	Points Available
<b>Safety</b>	4	Number of Crashes by Severity (Fatal and Severe)	Maps consisting of 5-year (1/1/2015 - 1/1/2020) severe crash data from Signal Four Analytics within the TPO boundary were prepared and evaluated. Identified projects were categorized in one of three tiers (high, medium or low) based upon the relative incidence of crashes. Corresponding points of 10, 5 or 0 were assigned accordingly.	High	10
				Medium	5
				Low	0
<b>Congestion</b>	1, 2, 3, 4	Volume/Capacity (V/C)	Identified projects were analyzed against 2045 Peak Hour volumes from the Central Florida Regional Planning Model v7. Projects located on segments with higher V/C ratios received 5 or 10 points contingent upon the ratio.	V/C > 1.1	10
				V/C 0.9 - 1.1	5
				V/C < 0.9	0
<b>Project Status</b>	1	Phases Funded and Priority Status	Identified projects were compared against the current Transportation Improvement Program and FDOT 5-Year Work Program to identify phases currently funded. A project was assigned the appropriate points based upon the level to which it was currently funded. Projects further along received more points (higher priority) since they are closer to completion which helps to focus effort on investments already made.	Funded Through Construction	10
				Funded Through ROW	8
				Funded Through Design	5

# Technical Criteria Scoring

Priority Evaluation Category	Connect 2045 Goals Implemented	Criteria Description	Source/Methodology for Evaluation	Criteria Scoring	Points Available	
<b>Emergency Management</b>	4	Evacuation Route	Identified projects were analyzed in relation to evacuation routes as delineated by the Florida Department of Emergency Management and local government comprehensive plans. If a project was in a designated Emergency Evacuation Route, it received 10 points.	Roadway is Emergency Evacuation Route	10	
				Roadway is Not an Emergency Evacuation Route	0	
<b>Multimodal/ Complete Streets</b>	1, 2, 3, 5, 6	Bicycle, Pedestrian, Transit and Complete Streets	Identified projects were evaluated for whether they would add bicycle and pedestrian capacity on a non-limited access facility in an urban or transitioning area. Projects meeting this criteria received 2.5 points.	Does project add new bicycle/pedestrian route or facility?	2.5	
				Identified projects were analyzed in relation to existing fixed-routes in the Votran system. If a project would add a new or contained an existing transit route, it received 2.5 points.	Does project add new/contains existing transit route?	2.5
				Identified projects were analyzed in relation to the location of Votran transfer facilities, DeBary SunRail station, DeLand Amtrak station, and Daytona Beach International Airport. If the project would provide access to these facilities, it received 2.5 points.	Does project provide access to multimodal hubs/stations?	2.5
				Identified projects were evaluated by staff for whether plans or documented goals existed for the corridor to be developed as a Complete Street and/or provide Complete Streets elements. Projects meeting this criteria received 2.5 points.	Does project add additional Complete Street elements?	2.5

# Technical Criteria Scoring

Priority Evaluation Category	Connect 2045 Goals Implemented	Criteria Description	Source/Methodology for Evaluation	Criteria Scoring	Points Available
<b>Economic and Community Development</b>	1, 2, 3	Access to Activity Centers and Improved Freight Movement	Identified projects were analyzed to determine whether they would provide additional access to downtown locations, beaches, visitor destinations, large regional shopping/entertainment centers, or other similar activity centers. Projects meeting this criteria received 5 points.	Provides access to a tourism/activity center?	5
			Identified projects were evaluated in relation to ecotourism locations including public conservation lands, trails (e.g. birding trails, paved trails, equestrian trails, and paddling trails), nature area hiking, off-road biking, and historic and cultural sites. If the project would provide access to any of these types of locations, it received 3 points.	Provides access to an ecotourism location?	3
			Identified projects were analyzed for whether they were within corridors identified on the National Highway Freight Network, Strategic Intermodal System, regional freight subsystem (defined in the Central Florida Regional Freight Mobility Study), other state corridors (SR 44, SR 11, SR 472), or corridors east of I-95 with truck AADT greater than 1,000. Projects within these corridors received 5 points.	Designated Freight Corridor?	5

# Technical Criteria Scoring

Priority Evaluation Category	Connect 2045 Goals Implemented	Criteria Description	Source/Methodology for Evaluation	Criteria Scoring	Points Available
<b>Regional Connectivity</b>	1, 3	Parallel Reliever and Consistent Lanes	Identified projects were evaluated for whether they are a new facility or, based on their location, would relieve congestion on parallel facilities and/or provide additional capacity during emergency or evacuation events. Projects meeting this definition received 5 points.	New Connection/Upgraded Facility to Provide Parallel Capacity?	5
			Identified projects were evaluated as to whether they added lanes that would match the number of lanes of the adjacent segment of the roadway. Projects meeting this criteria received 5 points.	Provides Consistent Number of Lanes Along Roadway?	5
<b>Environmental Justice</b> (avoiding disproportionate adverse effects on minority and low-income populations)	5, 6	Benefits vs. Impacts	Identified projects located within identified Environmental Justice (EJ) areas were evaluated. EJ areas have a percentage of minority populations or households in poverty at a level more than 150% of the statewide average (see pages 5-40 through 5-43). If the project intersected an EJ area, staff analysis was performed to determine potential impacts based on the project's scope. The project received points accordingly.	Positive Benefit	10
				Neutral	0
				Potential Negative Impacts	-3

# Technical Criteria Scoring

Priority Evaluation Category	Connect 2045 Goals Implemented	Criteria Description	Source/Methodology for Evaluation	Criteria Scoring	Points Available
<b>Environment</b>	5	Corridor Environmental Impact	Identified projects were evaluated in relation to various datasets identifying public conservation lands, Volusia ECHO environmental/cultural/historic sites, and Critical Lands and Waters Identification Project (CLIP) biodiversity resource and wetland priorities. If the project intersected or was adjacent to an identified area or site, staff analysis was performed to determine the potential level of impacts based on the project's scope. The project received 10, 5, or -3 points accordingly.	No Anticipated Impacts	10
				Limited Impacts	5
				Potential Environmental Impacts	-3
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	1, 5, 6	Project Type is Low Relative Cost/High Potential Benefit	Identified projects which did not require an increase in capacity through widening and had a scope that involved primarily ITS-related improvements received 5 points.	Technology-based Solution/ITS/Operational Improvement	10
<b>Unique Attributes</b>		Has Attributes Not Recognized Through Other Criteria	Identified projects could receive points under this category based on feedback and consultation from TPO Committees and the Board. This supplemental criteria was not utilized during the prioritization process.	Project has Unique Attributes	10

# **Technical Criteria Scoring**

## **Attachment A**

### **Technical Criteria Scoring Results**



Facility	From	To	Improvement	Safety		Congestion		Project Status		Emergency Management	
				Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded
				Number of Crashes by Severity (Fatal and Severe)		Volume/Capacity (V/C)		Phases Funded and Priority Status		Is roadway an evacuation route?	
<b>New SIS projects identified as potential unfunded needs. Not in final ranked priority order.</b>											
I-95	SR 400	Old Dixie Hwy	Widen to 8 lanes	Medium	5	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
I-4 / SR 400	SR 472	SR 44	Widen to 10 lanes	High	10	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
I-95/SR 44	At SR 44	Interchange/intersection	Interchange/intersection modification	High	10	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
Tomoka River Bridge	W of Champions Dr	E of Tomoka Farms Rd	Bridge widening	Low	0	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
I-95/Matanzas Woods Pkwy	At Matanzas Woods Pkwy	Interchange/intersection	Interchange/intersection modification	Low	0	V/C > 1.1	10	Funded Through Construction	10	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
I-4 / SR 400	SR 44	US-92 Connection	Widen to 8 lanes	Medium	5	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
<b>Projects included in the 2040 LRTP Cost Feasible Plan but not in protected status and are subject to re-evaluation of priority and funding in the 2045 LRTP.</b>											
US 17/92	SR 472	SR 15A (Taylor Rd)	ITS/Operations	High	10	V/C > 1.1	10	Funded Through PE	5	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
Matanzas Woods Pkwy	SR 5 (US1)	I-95	Widen to 4 lanes	Low	0	V/C < 0.9	0		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
LPGA Blvd	Nova Rd	US-1	Widen to 3 lanes	Low	0	V/C < 0.9	0	Funded Through PE	5	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
Old Kings Road - Extension Roadway (Phase II)	Matanzas Woods Pkwy	Old Kings Rd	New 2-lane road	Low	0	V/C < 0.9	0	Funded Through ROW	8	No	0
Commerce Pkwy Connector Road	SR 5 (US 1)	SR 100	New 2-lane road	Low	0	V/C < 0.9	0	Funded Through ROW	8	No	0
North Entrance DeLand Airport (Industrial Park)	Industrial Dr	SR 11	New 2-lane road	Low	0	V/C < 0.9	0		0	No	0
<b>State Road projects that were identified in the Needs Assessment and eligible for consideration of funding. Not in final ranked priority order.</b>											
SR 44	I-4	Prevatt Ave.	Widen to 6 lanes	High	10	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
US 1	Nova Rd. (N)	I-95	Widen to 6 lanes	High	10	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
SR 415 (Tomoka Farms Rd)	Seminole C/L	Howland Dr	Widen to 6 lanes	High	10	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
SR 415 (Tomoka Farms Rd)	Howland Dr	Taylor Rd.	Widen to 4 lanes	Low	0	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
SR 11	N. Woodland Blvd.	Flagler County	Widen to 4 lanes	Low	0	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
SR 44	Samsula Dr.	Glencoe Rd.	Widen to 6 lanes	Low	0	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
SR 44	Lake County	Grand Ave.	Widen to 4 lanes	Low	0	V/C > 1.1	10		0	Yes - Evacuation Route	10
<b>Local Road projects identified in the Needs Assessment and submitted by Volusia County for consideration of non-state highway funding.</b>											
Williamson Blvd	Summer Trees Rd	SR 400/Beville Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	Low	0	V/C 0.9 - 1.1	5		0	No	0
Veterans Memorial Pkwy	Harley Strickland Blvd	Taylor Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	Low	0	V/C > 1.1	10		0	No	0
Hand Ave	Williamson Blvd	Nova Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	Low	0	V/C 0.9 - 1.1	5		0	No	0
Josephine St	Old Mission Rd	Tatum St	Widen to 4 lanes	Low	0	V/C < 0.9	0		0	No	0

Facility	From	To	Improvement	Multimodal/ Complete Streets							
				Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded
				Does project add new bicycle/pedestrian route or facility?		Does project add new/contains existing transit route?		Does project provide access to multimodal hubs/stations?		Does project add additional Complete Street elements?	
<b>New SIS projects identified as potential unfunded needs. Not in final ranked priority order.</b>											
I-95	SR 400	Old Dixie Hwy	Widen to 8 lanes	No	0	No	0	Yes	2.5	No	0
I-4 / SR 400	SR 472	SR 44	Widen to 10 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
I-95/SR 44	At SR 44	Interchange/ intersection	Interchange/intersection modification	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
Tomoka River Bridge	W of Champions Dr	E of Tomoka Farms Rd	Bridge widening	Yes	2.5	No	0	No	0	No	0
I-95/Matanzas Woods Pkwy	At Matanzas Woods Pkwy	Interchange/ intersection	Interchange/intersection modification	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
I-4 / SR 400	SR 44	US-92 Connection	Widen to 8 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
<b>Projects included in the 2040 LRTP Cost Feasible Plan but not in protected status and are subject to re-evaluation of priority and funding in the 2045 LRTP.</b>											
US 17/92	SR 472	SR 15A (Taylor Rd)	ITS/Operations	No	0	Yes	2.5	Yes	2.5	No	0
Matanzas Woods Pkwy	SR 5 (US1)	I-95	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
LPGA Blvd	Nova Rd	US-1	Widen to 3 lanes	No	0	Yes	2.5	No	0	No	0
Old Kings Road - Extension Roadway (Phase II)	Matanzas Woods Pkwy	Old Kings Rd	New 2-lane road	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
Commerce Pkwy Connector Road	SR 5 (US 1)	SR 100	New 2-lane road	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
North Entrance DeLand Airport (Industrial Park)	Industrial Dr	SR 11	New 2-lane road	No	0	No	0	Yes	2.5	No	0
<b>State Road projects that were identified in the Needs Assessment and eligible for consideration of funding. Not in final ranked priority order.</b>											
SR 44	I-4	Prevatt Ave.	Widen to 6 lanes	Yes	2.5	No	0	No	0	No	0
US 1	Nova Rd. (N)	I-95	Widen to 6 lanes	Yes	2.5	Yes	2.5	Yes	2.5	No	0
SR 415 (Tomoka Farms Rd)	Seminole C/L	Howland Dr	Widen to 6 lanes	No	0	No	0	Yes	2.5	No	0
SR 415 (Tomoka Farms Rd)	Howland Dr	Taylor Rd.	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	Yes	2.5	No	0
SR 11	N. Woodland Blvd.	Flagler County	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
SR 44	Samsula Dr.	Glencoe Rd.	Widen to 6 lanes	Yes	2.5	Yes	2.5	No	0	No	0
SR 44	Lake County	Grand Ave.	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
<b>Local Road projects identified in the Needs Assessment and submitted by Volusia County for consideration of non-state highway funding.</b>											
Williamson Blvd	Summer Trees Rd	SR 400/Beville Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	Yes	2.5	No	0	No	0
Veterans Memorial Pkwy	Harley Strickland Blvd	Taylor Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
Hand Ave	Williamson Blvd	Nova Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	Yes	2.5	No	0	No	0
Josephine St	Old Mission Rd	Tatum St	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0

Facility	From	To	Improvement	Economic and Community Development						Regional Connectivity			
				Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded
				Provides access to a tourism/activity center?		Provides access to an ecotourism location?		Designated Freight Corridor?		New Connection/Upgraded Facility to Provide Parallel Capacity?		Provides Consistent Number of Lanes Along Roadway?	
<b>New SIS projects identified as potential unfunded needs. Not in final ranked priority order.</b>													
I-95	SR 400	Old Dixie Hwy	Widen to 8 lanes	Yes	5	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
I-4 / SR 400	SR 472	SR 44	Widen to 10 lanes	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
I-95/SR 44	At SR 44	Interchange/intersection	Interchange/intersection modification	Yes	5	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
Tomoka River Bridge	W of Champions Dr	E of Tomoka Farms Rd	Bridge widening	Yes	5	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	Yes	5
I-95/Matanzas Woods Pkwy	At Matanzas Woods Pkwy	Interchange/intersection	Interchange/intersection modification	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
I-4 / SR 400	SR 44	US-92 Connection	Widen to 8 lanes	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
<b>Projects included in the 2040 LRTP Cost Feasible Plan but not in protected status and are subject to re-evaluation of priority and funding in the 2045 LRTP.</b>													
US 17/92	SR 472	SR 15A (Taylor Rd)	ITS/Operations	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
Matanzas Woods Pkwy	SR 5 (US1)	I-95	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0
LPGA Blvd	Nova Rd	US-1	Widen to 3 lanes	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
Old Kings Road - Extension Roadway (Phase II)	Matanzas Woods Pkwy	Old Kings Rd	New 2-lane road	No	0	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0
Commerce Pkwy Connector Road	SR 5 (US 1)	SR 100	New 2-lane road	No	0	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0
North Entrance DeLand Airport (Industrial Park)	Industrial Dr	SR 11	New 2-lane road	Yes	5	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
<b>State Road projects that were identified in the Needs Assessment and eligible for consideration of funding. Not in final ranked priority order.</b>													
SR 44	I-4	Prevatt Ave.	Widen to 6 lanes	Yes	5	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	Yes	5
US 1	Nova Rd. (N)	I-95	Widen to 6 lanes	Yes	5	Yes	3	Yes	5	Yes	5	No	0
SR 415 (Tomoka Farms Rd)	Seminole C/L	Howland Dr	Widen to 6 lanes	No	0	Yes	3	Yes	5	Yes	5	No	0
SR 415 (Tomoka Farms Rd)	Howland Dr	Taylor Rd.	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	Yes	3	Yes	5	Yes	5	No	0
SR 11	N. Woodland Blvd.	Flagler County	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	Yes	3	Yes	5	Yes	5	No	0
SR 44	Samsula Dr.	Glencoe Rd.	Widen to 6 lanes	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
SR 44	Lake County	Grand Ave.	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	Yes	3	Yes	5	No	0	No	0
<b>Local Road projects identified in the Needs Assessment and submitted by Volusia County for consideration of non-state highway funding.</b>													
Williamson Blvd	Summer Trees Rd	SR 400/Beville Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	Yes	5	No	0	Yes	5	Yes	5	Yes	5
Veterans Memorial Pkwy	Harley Strickland Blvd	Taylor Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	Yes	5	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	Yes	5
Hand Ave	Williamson Blvd	Nova Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	Yes	5	No	0
Josephine St	Old Mission Rd	Tatum St	Widen to 4 lanes	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	Yes	5

Facility	From	To	Improvement	Environmental Justice		Environment		Cost Effectiveness		Unique Attributes		Total Score (possible 110)
				Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	Criteria Scoring	Points Awarded	
				Benefits vs. Impacts		Corridor Environmental Impact		Project type is low relative cost/high potential benefit		Project has attributes not recognized through other criteria		
<b>New SIS projects identified as potential unfunded needs. Not in final ranked priority order.</b>												
I-95	SR 400	Old Dixie Hwy	Widen to 8 lanes	Neutral	0	Limited Impacts	5	No	0			42.5
I-4 / SR 400	SR 472	SR 44	Widen to 10 lanes	Neutral	0	Limited Impacts	5	No	0			40.0
I-95/SR 44	At SR 44	Interchange/intersection	Interchange/intersection modification	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			37.0
Tomoka River Bridge	W of Champions Dr	E of Tomoka Farms Rd	Bridge widening	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			34.5
I-95/Matanzas Woods Pkwy	At Matanzas Woods Pkwy	Interchange/intersection	Interchange/intersection modification	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			32.0
I-4 / SR 400	SR 44	US-92 Connection	Widen to 8 lanes	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			27.0
<b>Projects included in the 2040 L RTP Cost Feasible Plan but not in protected status and are subject to re-evaluation of priority and funding in the 2045 L RTP.</b>												
US 17/92	SR 472	SR 15A (Taylor Rd)	ITS/Operations	Neutral	0	No Anticipated Impacts	10	Yes - Technology-based Solution/ITS/Operational Improvement	10			65.0
Matanzas Woods Pkwy	SR 5 (US1)	I-95	Widen to 4 lanes	Neutral	0	Limited Impacts	5	No	0			20.0
LPGA Blvd	Nova Rd	US-1	Widen to 3 lanes	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			19.5
Old Kings Road - Extension Roadway (Phase II)	Matanzas Woods Pkwy	Old Kings Rd	New 2-lane road	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			10.0
Commerce Pkwy Connector Road	SR 5 (US 1)	SR 100	New 2-lane road	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			10.0
North Entrance DeLand Airport (Industrial Park)	Industrial Dr	SR 11	New 2-lane road	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			4.5
<b>State Road projects that were identified in the Needs Assessment and eligible for consideration of funding. Not in final ranked priority order.</b>												
SR 44	I-4	Prevatt Ave.	Widen to 6 lanes	Neutral	0	Limited Impacts	5	No	0			52.5
US 1	Nova Rd. (N)	I-95	Widen to 6 lanes	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			52.5
SR 415 (Tomoka Farms Rd)	Seminole C/L	Howland Dr	Widen to 6 lanes	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			42.5
SR 415 (Tomoka Farms Rd)	Howland Dr	Taylor Rd.	Widen to 4 lanes	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			32.5
SR 11	N. Woodland Blvd.	Flagler County	Widen to 4 lanes	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			30.0
SR 44	Samsula Dr.	Glencoe Rd.	Widen to 6 lanes	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			27.0
SR 44	Lake County	Grand Ave.	Widen to 4 lanes	Neutral	0	Potential Environmental Impacts	-3	No	0			25.0
<b>Local Road projects identified in the Needs Assessment and submitted by Volusia County for consideration of non-state highway funding.</b>												
Williamson Blvd	Summer Trees Rd	SR 400/Beville Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	Neutral	0	Limited Impacts	5	No	0			32.5
Veterans Memorial Pkwy	Harley Strickland Blvd	Taylor Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	Neutral	0	Limited Impacts	5	No	0			30.0
Hand Ave	Williamson Blvd	Nova Rd	Widen to 4 lanes	Neutral	0	Limited Impacts	5	No	0			17.5
Josephine St	Old Mission Rd	Tatum St	Widen to 4 lanes	Neutral	0	Limited Impacts	5	No	0			10.0