

River to Sea TPO 2020 Bicycle/Pedestrian Project Scoring Sheet

Scored by: _____ Date: April 22, 2020

Project Title: Airpark Rd Sidewalk

Project Sponsor: Edgewater

Criteria Definitions

(1) Proximity to Community Assets (20 points maximum)

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a one (1) mile radius for Shared Use Paths and Transportation Alternatives Activities or a one-half (½) mile radius for Sidewalks. A maximum of 20 points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

Proximity to Community Assets	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Residential developments, apartments, community housing		4	
Activity centers, town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers, trade/vocational schools, colleges, universities		4	
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities		4	
Medical/health facilities, nursing homes, assisted living, rehabilitation center		4	
School bus stop (K-12)		2	
Schools (K-12)		2	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(2) Connectivity and Accessibility (20 points maximum)

This measure considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, shared use paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities. Does the project enhance mobility or accessibility for disadvantaged groups, including children, the elderly, the poor, those with limited transportation options and the disabled?

Network Connectivity and Accessibility	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Project provides access to a transit facility		5	
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)		5	
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities		5	
Project has been identified as “needed” in an adopted document (e.g. comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(3) Safety/Security (25 points maximum)

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of

the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant numbers of safety concerns.

Safety/Security	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
The project is located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia or Flagler County School District Student Transportation Services and within the River to Sea TPO planning area. If applicable, provide documentation.		12	
The project removes or reduces potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto). There is a pattern of bike/ped crashes along the project route. The project eliminates or abates a hazardous, unsafe, or security condition in a school walk zone as documented in a school safety study or other relevant study. If applicable, provide documentation such as photos or video of current situation/site or any supportive statistics or studies.		13	
Maximum Point Assessment		25	

(4) Contribution to “Livability” and Sustainability in the Community (10 points maximum)

This measure considers how the project positively impacts the “Livability” and Sustainability in the community that is being served by that facility. Depict assets on a project area map and describe in the space provided.

- Project includes traffic calming measures
- Project is located in a “gateway” or entrance corridor as identified in a local government applicant’s master plan, or other approved planning document
- Project removes barriers and/or bottlenecks for bicycle and/or pedestrian movements
- Project includes features which improve the comfort, safety, security, enjoyment or well-being for bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or transit users
- Project improves transfer between transportation modes
- Project supports infill and redevelopment consistent with transit-oriented design principals and strategies are in place making it reasonably certain that such infill and redevelopment will occur
- Project supports a comprehensive travel demand management strategy that will likely significantly advance one or more of the following objectives: 1) reduce average trip length, 2) reduce single occupancy motor vehicle trips, 3) increase transit and non-motorized trips, 4) reduce motorized vehicle parking, reduce personal injury and property damage resulting from vehicle crashes
- Project significantly enhances the travel experience via walking and biking

Points Awarded _____

(5) Enhancements to the Transportation System (10 points maximum)

This measure considers the demonstrated and defensible relationship to surface transportation. Describe how this project fits into the local and regional transportation system. Depict this on the map where applicable and describe in the space provided.

- Is the project included in an adopted plan?
- Does local government have Land Development Code requirements to construct sidewalks?
- Does the project relate to surface transportation?
- Does the project improve mobility between two or more different land use types located within 1/2 mile of each other, including residential and employment, retail or recreational areas?
- Does the project benefit transit riders by improving connectivity to existing or programmed pathways or transit facilities?

- Does the project conform to Transit Oriented Development principles?
- Is the project an extension or phased part of a larger redevelopment effort in the corridor/area?

Points Awarded _____

(6) Public Support/Special Considerations (5 points maximum)

This measure considers whether the proposed facility has public support. Describe and provide documentation (e.g. letters of support, signed petitions, public comments from community groups, homeowners associations, school administrators). This is an opportunity for the applicant to provide other relevant data that may provide **additional** information as related to the project application.

Special Considerations	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is documented public support provided for the project? Are there any special issues or concerns?		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		5	

(7) Local Matching Funds > 10% of Total Project Cost (20 points maximum)

If local matching funds greater than 10% of the estimated project cost are available, describe the local matching fund package in detail.

Local Matching Funds > 10%	Check One	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is a local matching fund package greater than 10% of the estimated project cost documented for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
10.0% < Local Matching Funds < 12.5%		2	
12.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 15.0%		4	
15.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 17.5%		6	
17.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 20.0%		8	
20.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 22.5%		10	
22.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 25.0%		12	
25.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 27.5%		14	
27.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 30.0%		16	
30.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 32.5%		18	
32.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds		20	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(8) Value-Added Tie Breaker (if necessary) (variable points)

Projects with equal scores after evaluations using the eight Project Proposal Criteria are subject to the Value-Added Tie Breaker. The BPAC and Project Review Subcommittee are authorized to award tie breaker points based on the additional value added by the project. A written explanation of the circumstances and amount of tie breaker points awarded for each project will be provided.

Points Awarded: _____

Criteria Summary Total Points Awarded: _____ **Initials:** _____

River to Sea TPO 2020 Bicycle/Pedestrian Project Scoring Sheet

Scored by: _____ Date: April 22, 2020

Project Title: Dunlawton Av and Nova Rd Pedestrian Signals and Sidewalk Gaps

Project Sponsor: Port Orange

Criteria Definitions

(1) Proximity to Community Assets (20 points maximum)

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a one (1) mile radius for Shared Use Paths and Transportation Alternatives Activities or a one-half (½) mile radius for Sidewalks. A maximum of 20 points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

Proximity to Community Assets	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Residential developments, apartments, community housing		4	
Activity centers, town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers, trade/vocational schools, colleges, universities		4	
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities		4	
Medical/health facilities, nursing homes, assisted living, rehabilitation center		4	
School bus stop (K-12)		2	
Schools (K-12)		2	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(2) Connectivity and Accessibility (20 points maximum)

This measure considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, shared use paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities. Does the project enhance mobility or accessibility for disadvantaged groups, including children, the elderly, the poor, those with limited transportation options and the disabled?

Network Connectivity and Accessibility	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Project provides access to a transit facility		5	
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)		5	
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities		5	
Project has been identified as “needed” in an adopted document (e.g. comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(3) Safety/Security (25 points maximum)

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of

the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant numbers of safety concerns.

Safety/Security	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
The project is located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia or Flagler County School District Student Transportation Services and within the River to Sea TPO planning area. If applicable, provide documentation.		12	
The project removes or reduces potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto). There is a pattern of bike/ped crashes along the project route. The project eliminates or abates a hazardous, unsafe, or security condition in a school walk zone as documented in a school safety study or other relevant study. If applicable, provide documentation such as photos or video of current situation/site or any supportive statistics or studies.		13	
Maximum Point Assessment		25	

(4) Contribution to “Livability” and Sustainability in the Community (10 points maximum)

This measure considers how the project positively impacts the “Livability” and Sustainability in the community that is being served by that facility. Depict assets on a project area map and describe in the space provided.

- Project includes traffic calming measures
- Project is located in a “gateway” or entrance corridor as identified in a local government applicant’s master plan, or other approved planning document
- Project removes barriers and/or bottlenecks for bicycle and/or pedestrian movements
- Project includes features which improve the comfort, safety, security, enjoyment or well-being for bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or transit users
- Project improves transfer between transportation modes
- Project supports infill and redevelopment consistent with transit-oriented design principals and strategies are in place making it reasonably certain that such infill and redevelopment will occur
- Project supports a comprehensive travel demand management strategy that will likely significantly advance one or more of the following objectives: 1) reduce average trip length, 2) reduce single occupancy motor vehicle trips, 3) increase transit and non-motorized trips, 4) reduce motorized vehicle parking, reduce personal injury and property damage resulting from vehicle crashes
- Project significantly enhances the travel experience via walking and biking

Points Awarded _____

(5) Enhancements to the Transportation System (10 points maximum)

This measure considers the demonstrated and defensible relationship to surface transportation. Describe how this project fits into the local and regional transportation system. Depict this on the map where applicable and describe in the space provided.

- Is the project included in an adopted plan?
- Does local government have Land Development Code requirements to construct sidewalks?
- Does the project relate to surface transportation?
- Does the project improve mobility between two or more different land use types located within 1/2 mile of each other, including residential and employment, retail or recreational areas?
- Does the project benefit transit riders by improving connectivity to existing or programmed pathways or transit facilities?

- Does the project conform to Transit Oriented Development principles?
- Is the project an extension or phased part of a larger redevelopment effort in the corridor/area?

Points Awarded _____

(6) Public Support/Special Considerations (5 points maximum)

This measure considers whether the proposed facility has public support. Describe and provide documentation (e.g. letters of support, signed petitions, public comments from community groups, homeowners associations, school administrators). This is an opportunity for the applicant to provide other relevant data that may provide **additional** information as related to the project application.

Special Considerations	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is documented public support provided for the project? Are there any special issues or concerns?		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		5	

(7) Local Matching Funds > 10% of Total Project Cost (20 points maximum)

If local matching funds greater than 10% of the estimated project cost are available, describe the local matching fund package in detail.

Local Matching Funds > 10%	Check One	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is a local matching fund package greater than 10% of the estimated project cost documented for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
10.0% < Local Matching Funds < 12.5%		2	
12.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 15.0%		4	
15.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 17.5%		6	
17.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 20.0%		8	
20.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 22.5%		10	
22.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 25.0%		12	
25.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 27.5%		14	
27.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 30.0%		16	
30.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 32.5%		18	
32.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds		20	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(8) Value-Added Tie Breaker (if necessary) (variable points)

Projects with equal scores after evaluations using the eight Project Proposal Criteria are subject to the Value-Added Tie Breaker. The BPAC and Project Review Subcommittee are authorized to award tie breaker points based on the additional value added by the project. A written explanation of the circumstances and amount of tie breaker points awarded for each project will be provided.

Points Awarded: _____

Criteria Summary Total Points Awarded: _____ Initials: _____

River to Sea TPO 2020 Bicycle/Pedestrian Project Scoring Sheet

Scored by: _____ Date: April 22, 2020

Project Title: New Smyrna Beach (NSB) Regional Trail

Project Sponsor: New Smyrna Beach

Criteria Definitions

(1) Proximity to Community Assets (20 points maximum)

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a one (1) mile radius for Shared Use Paths and points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

Proximity to Community Assets	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Residential developments, apartments, community housing		4	
Activity centers, town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers, trade/vocational schools, colleges, universities		4	
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities		4	
Medical/health facilities, nursing homes, assisted living, rehabilitation center		4	
School bus stop (K-12)		2	
Schools (K-12)		2	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(2) Connectivity and Accessibility (20 points maximum)

This measure considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, shared use paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities. Does the project enhance mobility or accessibility for disadvantaged groups, including children, the elderly, the poor, those with limited transportation options and the disabled?

Network Connectivity and Accessibility	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Project provides access to a transit facility		5	
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)		5	
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities		5	
Project has been identified as "needed" in an adopted document (e.g. comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(3) Safety/Security (25 points maximum)

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of

the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant numbers of safety concerns.

Safety/Security	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
The project is located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia or Flagler County School District Student Transportation Services and within the River to Sea TPO planning area. If applicable, provide documentation.		12	
The project removes or reduces potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto). There is a pattern of bike/ped crashes along the project route. The project eliminates or abates a hazardous, unsafe, or security condition in a school walk zone as documented in a school safety study or other relevant study. If applicable, provide documentation such as photos or video of current situation/site or any supportive statistics or studies.		13	
Maximum Point Assessment		25	

(4) Contribution to “Livability” and Sustainability in the Community (10 points maximum)

This measure considers how the project positively impacts the “Livability” and Sustainability in the community that is being served by that facility. Depict assets on a project area map and describe in the space provided.

- Project includes traffic calming measures
- Project is located in a “gateway” or entrance corridor as identified in a local government applicant’s master plan, or other approved planning document
- Project removes barriers and/or bottlenecks for bicycle and/or pedestrian movements
- Project includes features which improve the comfort, safety, security, enjoyment or well-being for bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or transit users
- Project improves transfer between transportation modes
- Project supports infill and redevelopment consistent with transit-oriented design principals and strategies are in place making it reasonably certain that such infill and redevelopment will occur
- Project supports a comprehensive travel demand management strategy that will likely significantly advance one or more of the following objectives: 1) reduce average trip length, 2) reduce single occupancy motor vehicle trips, 3) increase transit and non-motorized trips, 4) reduce motorized vehicle parking, reduce personal injury and property damage resulting from vehicle crashes
- Project significantly enhances the travel experience via walking and biking

Points Awarded _____

(5) Enhancements to the Transportation System (10 points maximum)

This measure considers the demonstrated and defensible relationship to surface transportation. Describe how this project fits into the local and regional transportation system. Depict this on the map where applicable and describe in the space provided.

- Is the project included in an adopted plan?
- Does local government have Land Development Code requirements to construct sidewalks?
- Does the project relate to surface transportation?
- Does the project improve mobility between two or more different land use types located within 1/2 mile of each other, including residential and employment, retail or recreational areas?
- Does the project benefit transit riders by improving connectivity to existing or programmed pathways or transit facilities?

- Does the project conform to Transit Oriented Development principles?
- Is the project an extension or phased part of a larger redevelopment effort in the corridor/area?

Points Awarded _____

(6) Public Support/Special Considerations (5 points maximum)

This measure considers whether the proposed facility has public support. Describe and provide documentation (e.g. letters of support, signed petitions, public comments from community groups, homeowners associations, school administrators). This is an opportunity for the applicant to provide other relevant data that may provide **additional** information as related to the project application.

Special Considerations	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is documented public support provided for the project? Are there any special issues or concerns?		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		5	

(7) Local Matching Funds > 10% of Total Project Cost (20 points maximum)

If local matching funds greater than 10% of the estimated project cost are available, describe the local matching fund package in detail.

Local Matching Funds > 10%	Check One	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is a local matching fund package greater than 10% of the estimated project cost documented for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
10.0% < Local Matching Funds < 12.5%		2	
12.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 15.0%		4	
15.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 17.5%		6	
17.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 20.0%		8	
20.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 22.5%		10	
22.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 25.0%		12	
25.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 27.5%		14	
27.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 30.0%		16	
30.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 32.5%		18	
32.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds		20	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(8) Value-Added Tie Breaker (if necessary) (variable points)

Projects with equal scores after evaluations using the eight Project Proposal Criteria are subject to the Value-Added Tie Breaker. The BPAC and Project Review Subcommittee are authorized to award tie breaker points based on the additional value added by the project. A written explanation of the circumstances and amount of tie breaker points awarded for each project will be provided.

Points Awarded: _____

Criteria Summary Total Points Awarded: _____ Initials: _____

River to Sea TPO 2020 Bicycle/Pedestrian Project Scoring Sheet

Scored by: _____ Date: April 22, 2020

Project Title: North Causeway Pedestrian Crossing

Project Sponsor: New Smyrna Beach

Criteria Definitions

(1) Proximity to Community Assets (20 points maximum)

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a one (1) mile radius for Shared Use Paths and Transportation Alternatives Activities or a one-half (½) mile radius for Sidewalks. A maximum of 20 points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

Proximity to Community Assets	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Residential developments, apartments, community housing		4	
Activity centers, town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers, trade/vocational schools, colleges, universities		4	
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities		4	
Medical/health facilities, nursing homes, assisted living, rehabilitation center		4	
School bus stop (K-12)		2	
Schools (K-12)		2	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(2) Connectivity and Accessibility (20 points maximum)

This measure considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, shared use paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities. Does the project enhance mobility or accessibility for disadvantaged groups, including children, the elderly, the poor, those with limited transportation options and the disabled?

Network Connectivity and Accessibility	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Project provides access to a transit facility		5	
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)		5	
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities		5	
Project has been identified as “needed” in an adopted document (e.g. comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(3) Safety/Security (25 points maximum)

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of

the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant numbers of safety concerns.

Safety/Security	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
The project is located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia or Flagler County School District Student Transportation Services and within the River to Sea TPO planning area. If applicable, provide documentation.		12	
The project removes or reduces potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto). There is a pattern of bike/ped crashes along the project route. The project eliminates or abates a hazardous, unsafe, or security condition in a school walk zone as documented in a school safety study or other relevant study. If applicable, provide documentation such as photos or video of current situation/site or any supportive statistics or studies.		13	
Maximum Point Assessment		25	

(4) Contribution to “Livability” and Sustainability in the Community (10 points maximum)

This measure considers how the project positively impacts the “Livability” and Sustainability in the community that is being served by that facility. Depict assets on a project area map and describe in the space provided.

- Project includes traffic calming measures
- Project is located in a “gateway” or entrance corridor as identified in a local government applicant’s master plan, or other approved planning document
- Project removes barriers and/or bottlenecks for bicycle and/or pedestrian movements
- Project includes features which improve the comfort, safety, security, enjoyment or well-being for bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or transit users
- Project improves transfer between transportation modes
- Project supports infill and redevelopment consistent with transit-oriented design principals and strategies are in place making it reasonably certain that such infill and redevelopment will occur
- Project supports a comprehensive travel demand management strategy that will likely significantly advance one or more of the following objectives: 1) reduce average trip length, 2) reduce single occupancy motor vehicle trips, 3) increase transit and non-motorized trips, 4) reduce motorized vehicle parking, reduce personal injury and property damage resulting from vehicle crashes
- Project significantly enhances the travel experience via walking and biking

Points Awarded _____

(5) Enhancements to the Transportation System (10 points maximum)

This measure considers the demonstrated and defensible relationship to surface transportation. Describe how this project fits into the local and regional transportation system. Depict this on the map where applicable and describe in the space provided.

- Is the project included in an adopted plan?
- Does local government have Land Development Code requirements to construct sidewalks?
- Does the project relate to surface transportation?
- Does the project improve mobility between two or more different land use types located within 1/2 mile of each other, including residential and employment, retail or recreational areas?
- Does the project benefit transit riders by improving connectivity to existing or programmed pathways or transit facilities?

- Does the project conform to Transit Oriented Development principles?
- Is the project an extension or phased part of a larger redevelopment effort in the corridor/area?

Points Awarded _____

(6) Public Support/Special Considerations (5 points maximum)

This measure considers whether the proposed facility has public support. Describe and provide documentation (e.g. letters of support, signed petitions, public comments from community groups, homeowners associations, school administrators). This is an opportunity for the applicant to provide other relevant data that may provide **additional** information as related to the project application.

Special Considerations	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is documented public support provided for the project? Are there any special issues or concerns?		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		5	

(7) Local Matching Funds > 10% of Total Project Cost (20 points maximum)

If local matching funds greater than 10% of the estimated project cost are available, describe the local matching fund package in detail.

Local Matching Funds > 10%	Check One	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is a local matching fund package greater than 10% of the estimated project cost documented for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
10.0% < Local Matching Funds < 12.5%		2	
12.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 15.0%		4	
15.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 17.5%		6	
17.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 20.0%		8	
20.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 22.5%		10	
22.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 25.0%		12	
25.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 27.5%		14	
27.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 30.0%		16	
30.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 32.5%		18	
32.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds		20	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(8) Value-Added Tie Breaker (if necessary) (variable points)

Projects with equal scores after evaluations using the eight Project Proposal Criteria are subject to the Value-Added Tie Breaker. The BPAC and Project Review Subcommittee are authorized to award tie breaker points based on the additional value added by the project. A written explanation of the circumstances and amount of tie breaker points awarded for each project will be provided.

Points Awarded: _____

Criteria Summary Total Points Awarded: _____ **Initials:** _____

River to Sea TPO 2020 Bicycle/Pedestrian Project Scoring Sheet

Scored by: _____ Date: April 22, 2020

Project Title: Peninsula Dr Sidewalk and Signage

Project Sponsor: Port Orange

Criteria Definitions

(1) Proximity to Community Assets (20 points maximum)

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a one (1) mile radius for Shared Use Paths and Transportation Alternatives Activities or a one-half (½) mile radius for Sidewalks. A maximum of 20 points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

Proximity to Community Assets	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Residential developments, apartments, community housing		4	
Activity centers, town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers, trade/vocational schools, colleges, universities		4	
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities		4	
Medical/health facilities, nursing homes, assisted living, rehabilitation center		4	
School bus stop (K-12)		2	
Schools (K-12)		2	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(2) Connectivity and Accessibility (20 points maximum)

This measure considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, shared use paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities. Does the project enhance mobility or accessibility for disadvantaged groups, including children, the elderly, the poor, those with limited transportation options and the disabled?

Network Connectivity and Accessibility	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Project provides access to a transit facility		5	
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)		5	
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities		5	
Project has been identified as “needed” in an adopted document (e.g. comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(3) Safety/Security (25 points maximum)

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of

the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant numbers of safety concerns.

Safety/Security	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
The project is located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia or Flagler County School District Student Transportation Services and within the River to Sea TPO planning area. If applicable, provide documentation.		12	
The project removes or reduces potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto). There is a pattern of bike/ped crashes along the project route. The project eliminates or abates a hazardous, unsafe, or security condition in a school walk zone as documented in a school safety study or other relevant study. If applicable, provide documentation such as photos or video of current situation/site or any supportive statistics or studies.		13	
Maximum Point Assessment		25	

(4) Contribution to “Livability” and Sustainability in the Community (10 points maximum)

This measure considers how the project positively impacts the “Livability” and Sustainability in the community that is being served by that facility. Depict assets on a project area map and describe in the space provided.

- Project includes traffic calming measures
- Project is located in a “gateway” or entrance corridor as identified in a local government applicant’s master plan, or other approved planning document
- Project removes barriers and/or bottlenecks for bicycle and/or pedestrian movements
- Project includes features which improve the comfort, safety, security, enjoyment or well-being for bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or transit users
- Project improves transfer between transportation modes
- Project supports infill and redevelopment consistent with transit-oriented design principals and strategies are in place making it reasonably certain that such infill and redevelopment will occur
- Project supports a comprehensive travel demand management strategy that will likely significantly advance one or more of the following objectives: 1) reduce average trip length, 2) reduce single occupancy motor vehicle trips, 3) increase transit and non-motorized trips, 4) reduce motorized vehicle parking, reduce personal injury and property damage resulting from vehicle crashes
- Project significantly enhances the travel experience via walking and biking

Points Awarded _____

(5) Enhancements to the Transportation System (10 points maximum)

This measure considers the demonstrated and defensible relationship to surface transportation. Describe how this project fits into the local and regional transportation system. Depict this on the map where applicable and describe in the space provided.

- Is the project included in an adopted plan?
- Does local government have Land Development Code requirements to construct sidewalks?
- Does the project relate to surface transportation?
- Does the project improve mobility between two or more different land use types located within 1/2 mile of each other, including residential and employment, retail or recreational areas?
- Does the project benefit transit riders by improving connectivity to existing or programmed pathways or transit facilities?

- Does the project conform to Transit Oriented Development principles?
- Is the project an extension or phased part of a larger redevelopment effort in the corridor/area?

Points Awarded _____

(6) Public Support/Special Considerations (5 points maximum)

This measure considers whether the proposed facility has public support. Describe and provide documentation (e.g. letters of support, signed petitions, public comments from community groups, homeowners associations, school administrators). This is an opportunity for the applicant to provide other relevant data that may provide **additional** information as related to the project application.

Special Considerations	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is documented public support provided for the project? Are there any special issues or concerns?		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		5	

(7) Local Matching Funds > 10% of Total Project Cost (20 points maximum)

If local matching funds greater than 10% of the estimated project cost are available, describe the local matching fund package in detail.

Local Matching Funds > 10%	Check One	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is a local matching fund package greater than 10% of the estimated project cost documented for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
10.0% < Local Matching Funds < 12.5%		2	
12.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 15.0%		4	
15.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 17.5%		6	
17.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 20.0%		8	
20.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 22.5%		10	
22.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 25.0%		12	
25.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 27.5%		14	
27.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 30.0%		16	
30.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 32.5%		18	
32.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds		20	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(8) Value-Added Tie Breaker (if necessary) (variable points)

Projects with equal scores after evaluations using the eight Project Proposal Criteria are subject to the Value-Added Tie Breaker. The BPAC and Project Review Subcommittee are authorized to award tie breaker points based on the additional value added by the project. A written explanation of the circumstances and amount of tie breaker points awarded for each project will be provided.

Points Awarded: _____

Criteria Summary Total Points Awarded: _____ Initials: _____

River to Sea TPO 2020 Bicycle/Pedestrian Project Scoring Sheet

Scored by: _____ Date: April 22, 2020

Project Title: Reed Canal Park Bridge

Project Sponsor: South Daytona

Criteria Definitions

(1) Proximity to Community Assets (20 points maximum)

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a one (1) mile radius for Shared Use Paths and Transportation Alternatives Activities or a one-half (½) mile radius for Sidewalks. A maximum of 20 points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

Proximity to Community Assets	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Residential developments, apartments, community housing		4	
Activity centers, town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers, trade/vocational schools, colleges, universities		4	
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities		4	
Medical/health facilities, nursing homes, assisted living, rehabilitation center		4	
School bus stop (K-12)		2	
Schools (K-12)		2	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(2) Connectivity and Accessibility (20 points maximum)

This measure considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, shared use paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities. Does the project enhance mobility or accessibility for disadvantaged groups, including children, the elderly, the poor, those with limited transportation options and the disabled?

Network Connectivity and Accessibility	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Project provides access to a transit facility		5	
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)		5	
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities		5	
Project has been identified as “needed” in an adopted document (e.g. comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(3) Safety/Security (25 points maximum)

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of

the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant numbers of safety concerns.

Safety/Security	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
The project is located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia or Flagler County School District Student Transportation Services and within the River to Sea TPO planning area. If applicable, provide documentation.		12	
The project removes or reduces potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto). There is a pattern of bike/ped crashes along the project route. The project eliminates or abates a hazardous, unsafe, or security condition in a school walk zone as documented in a school safety study or other relevant study. If applicable, provide documentation such as photos or video of current situation/site or any supportive statistics or studies.		13	
Maximum Point Assessment		25	

(4) Contribution to “Livability” and Sustainability in the Community (10 points maximum)

This measure considers how the project positively impacts the “Livability” and Sustainability in the community that is being served by that facility. Depict assets on a project area map and describe in the space provided.

- Project includes traffic calming measures
- Project is located in a “gateway” or entrance corridor as identified in a local government applicant’s master plan, or other approved planning document
- Project removes barriers and/or bottlenecks for bicycle and/or pedestrian movements
- Project includes features which improve the comfort, safety, security, enjoyment or well-being for bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or transit users
- Project improves transfer between transportation modes
- Project supports infill and redevelopment consistent with transit-oriented design principals and strategies are in place making it reasonably certain that such infill and redevelopment will occur
- Project supports a comprehensive travel demand management strategy that will likely significantly advance one or more of the following objectives: 1) reduce average trip length, 2) reduce single occupancy motor vehicle trips, 3) increase transit and non-motorized trips, 4) reduce motorized vehicle parking, reduce personal injury and property damage resulting from vehicle crashes
- Project significantly enhances the travel experience via walking and biking

Points Awarded _____

(5) Enhancements to the Transportation System (10 points maximum)

This measure considers the demonstrated and defensible relationship to surface transportation. Describe how this project fits into the local and regional transportation system. Depict this on the map where applicable and describe in the space provided.

- Is the project included in an adopted plan?
- Does local government have Land Development Code requirements to construct sidewalks?
- Does the project relate to surface transportation?
- Does the project improve mobility between two or more different land use types located within 1/2 mile of each other, including residential and employment, retail or recreational areas?
- Does the project benefit transit riders by improving connectivity to existing or programmed pathways or transit facilities?

- Does the project conform to Transit Oriented Development principles?
- Is the project an extension or phased part of a larger redevelopment effort in the corridor/area?

Points Awarded _____

(6) Public Support/Special Considerations (5 points maximum)

This measure considers whether the proposed facility has public support. Describe and provide documentation (e.g. letters of support, signed petitions, public comments from community groups, homeowners associations, school administrators). This is an opportunity for the applicant to provide other relevant data that may provide *additional* information as related to the project application.

Special Considerations	Yes/No	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is documented public support provided for the project? Are there any special issues or concerns?		5	
Maximum Point Assessment		5	

(7) Local Matching Funds > 10% of Total Project Cost (20 points maximum)

If local matching funds greater than 10% of the estimated project cost are available, describe the local matching fund package in detail.

Local Matching Funds > 10%	Check One	Max. Points	Points Awarded
Is a local matching fund package greater than 10% of the estimated project cost documented for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
10.0% < Local Matching Funds < 12.5%		2	
12.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 15.0%		4	
15.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 17.5%		6	
17.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 20.0%		8	
20.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 22.5%		10	
22.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 25.0%		12	
25.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 27.5%		14	
27.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 30.0%		16	
30.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 32.5%		18	
32.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds		20	
Maximum Point Assessment		20	

(8) Value-Added Tie Breaker (if necessary) (variable points)

Projects with equal scores after evaluations using the eight Project Proposal Criteria are subject to the Value-Added Tie Breaker. The BPAC and Project Review Subcommittee are authorized to award tie breaker points based on the additional value added by the project. A written explanation of the circumstances and amount of tie breaker points awarded for each project will be provided.

Points Awarded: _____

Criteria Summary Total Points Awarded: _____ Initials: _____