



# MEETING NOTICE & AGENDA

Please be advised that the River to Sea Transportation Planning Organization (R2CTPO) **BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BPAC)** will be meeting on:

**DATE:** Wednesday, November 12, 2014

**TIME:** 3:00 PM

**PLACE:** River to Sea TPO  
2570 W. International Speedway Blvd.,  
Suite 100 (Conference Room)  
Daytona Beach, Florida 32114-8145

\*\*\*\*\*

**Mr. Robert "Bob" Storke, Chairman**

## AGENDA

- I. **CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL/DETERMINATION OF QUORUM/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
- II. **NEW BPAC MEMBER INTRODUCTION** (*Enclosure, page 4*)
- III. **PUBLIC COMMENT/PARTICIPATION** (*Length of time at the discretion of the Chairman*)
- IV. **ACTION ITEMS**
  - A. **REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF OCTOBER 8, 2014 BPAC MEETING MINUTES**  
(*Contact: Debbie Stewart*) (*Enclosure, pages 5-16*)
  - B. **REVIEW AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2014-## ADOPTING THE JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT (JPA), AMENDMENT #1 FOR XU BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN FEASIBILITY STUDIES** (*Contact: Stephan C. Harris*)  
(*Enclosure, pages 17-21*)

**IV. ACTION ITEMS** *(continued)*

- C. REVIEW AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2014-## REAFFIRMING THE POLICY FOR ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING TRANSPORTATION PRIORITY PROJECTS** *(Contact: Robert Keeth) (Enclosure, pages 22-26)*
- D. REVIEW AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2014-## AMENDING THE FY 2014/15 – 2018/19 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)** *(Contact: Robert Keeth) (Enclosure, page 27)*
- E. REVIEW AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN APPLICATION FOR PROJECT PRIORITIZATION** *(Contact: Stephan C. Harris) (Enclosure, pages XX)*
- F. CANCELLATION OF THE DECEMBER 10, 2014 BPAC MEETING** *(Contact: Pamela Blankenship) (Enclosure, page 28)*

**V. PRESENTATIONS AND DISCUSSION ITEMS**

- A. PRESENTATION ON THE BICYCLE FRIENDLY AMERICA PROGRAM** *(Contact: Jason Aufdenberg) (Enclosure, pages 29-45)*
- B. PRESENTATION ON HOW PALM COAST BECAME A TRAIL CITY** *(Contact: Jose Papa, City of Palm Coast) (Enclosure, pages 46-59)*

**VI. STAFF COMMENTS** *(Enclosure, page 60)*

- **Regional Trails Corridor Assessment**
- **Bike, Walk and Drive Safely Public Service Announcement**

**VII. INFORMATION ITEMS** *(Enclosures, pages 60-79)*

- **BPAC Attendance Record**
- **2015 R2CTPO Board and Committee Meeting Schedule**
- **2040 LRTP Subcommittee Report**
- **TPO Board Meeting Report**
- **US 92 Project Public Meeting Notice**
- **USDOT Action Plan to Increase Walking and Biking**

**VIII. BPAC MEMBER COMMENTS** *(Enclosure, page 60)*

**IX. ADJOURNMENT** *(Enclosure, page 60)*

***\*\*\*The next meeting of the BPAC will be on Wednesday, January 14, 2015\*\*\****

NOTE: Individuals covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 in need of accommodations for this public meeting should contact the River to Sea TPO office, 2570 W. International Speedway Blvd., Suite 100, Daytona Beach, Florida 32114-8145; (386) 226-0422, extension 20416, at least five (5) working days prior to the meeting date.

NOTE: If any person decides to appeal a decision made by the board with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings including all testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. To that end, such person will want to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made.

NOTE: The River to Sea TPO does not discriminate in any of its programs or services. To learn more about our commitment to nondiscrimination and diversity, visit our Title VI page at [www.R2CTPO.org](http://www.R2CTPO.org) or contact our Title VI/Nondiscrimination Coordinator, Pamela Blankenship, at 386-226-0422, extension 20416, or [pblankenship@r2ctpo.org](mailto:pblankenship@r2ctpo.org).

**SUMMARY SHEET  
BPAC  
NOVEMBER 12, 2014**

**IV. Action Items**

**E) Review and Recommend Approval of the Bicycle/Pedestrian Application for Project Prioritization**

**Background Information:**

The 2015 draft Bicycle/Pedestrian Application for Project Prioritization is provided with this agenda packet for your review.

***Action Requested:***

***Motion to recommend approval of the Bicycle/Pedestrian Application for Project Prioritization***



## 2014 2015 Application for Project Prioritization **XU Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects**

January 20142015

### **General Instructions:**

For the 2014 2015 Call for Projects, the ~~VTPO~~ R2CTPO is accepting applications for Feasibility Studies and Project Implementation.

The ~~VTPO~~ R2CTPO has two different application forms for XU Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects. One is to be used when applying for a Feasibility Study; the other is to be used when applying for Project Implementation. When applying for Project Implementation, the applicant will also be required to submit a completed copy of FDOT's Project Information Application Form.

No project will advance beyond a Feasibility Study unless the ~~VTPO~~ R2CTPO receives an application for prioritization of the Project Implementation phase. Applications for prioritization of the Project Implementation phase will be accepted only if a Feasibility Study has already been completed or if the project does not require a Feasibility Study.

Applications will be ranked based on the information supplied in the application.

Incomplete applications will not be accepted.

### **Initial Project Screening:**

Any project submitted by a local government for consideration needs to meet the following screening criteria:

For any proposed facility to be considered eligible through the TPO process, the project must be included on the ~~Volusia TPO's~~ River to Sea TPO's Regional Trails Corridor Plan or an adopted Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan.

Is this **Shared Use Path** project at least 12 feet wide?

- If **Yes** – the project is eligible.
- If **No** – justification is required to determine eligibility.

Is this **Sidewalk** project at least 5 feet wide?

- If **Yes** – the project is eligible.
- If **No** – the project application is not acceptable.

### **Award Limits:**

No more than \$1.5 million in ~~XU~~ SU funds will be awarded to any single project in any single application cycle, and no more than \$3 million in ~~XU~~ SU funds will be awarded over multiple years toward the completion of any single project. Waivers/exceptions may be granted by the ~~VTP~~ R2CTPO Board. Other funds (in addition to SU funds) may be used to fund project phases or overall costs above these limits.

### **Funding Local Match Requirements:**

~~VTP~~ R2CTPO Resolution ~~2013-09 2015-##~~ ~~requires a local match of ten percent (10%) of the total amount of XU funds programmed for each project~~ provides that the governmental entity requesting SU funds shall be required to match those funds programmed on the project with local funds at the ratios of 90/10 (SU/local). The local match shall be by project phase for each programmed phase including feasibility study. A non-federal cash match is required for a feasibility study. For all other phases, the ~~For this purpose,~~ local match is defined as non-federal cash match and/or in-kind services that advance the project. ~~The local match for feasibility studies can only be satisfied with a non-federal cash match.~~ This resolution also reaffirms the ~~VTP~~'s R2CTPO's policy that the applicant (project originator) shall be responsible for any cost overruns encountered on a project funded with ~~XU~~ SU funds unless the project is on the state highway system, in which case, the State DOT shall be responsible for any cost overruns. Projects whose sponsors are willing and able to provide a local match **greater than 10%** 90/10 (SU/local) will be awarded additional points.

### **Other Funding Requirements:**

Project applications submitted for bicycle/pedestrian funds that contain more than a strictly bicycle/pedestrian component (i.e. roadway improvements, bridge replacements, etc.) may be funded in part with ~~XU~~ SU funds. The limitations are as follows: a maximum of 10% of the total project cost may be funded with bicycle/pedestrian ~~XU~~ SU funds, but that amount MAY NOT exceed 10% of the total annual allotment of bicycle/pedestrian ~~XU~~ SU funds. These projects will be ranked separately and only the top two (2) projects will be recommended for funding in a given year. All project applications are subject to approval by the ~~Volusia~~ R2CTPO Board.

### **Project Application Electronic and "Hard Copy" Submittal Requirements:**

Any project submitted by a local government for consideration MUST include the following information/materials:

1. Applications and supporting documentation shall be submitted as digital media in Portable Document Format (PDF), compatible with MS Windows and Adobe Acrobat® Version 9.5 or earlier.
2. Electronic documents may be submitted through our FTP site, as an attachment to email, on a CD, DVD or USB flash drive.
3. The application and all supporting documentation shall be included in one electronic PDF file.
4. All document pages shall be oriented so that the top of the page is always at the top of the computer monitor.
5. Page size shall be either 8-1/2" by 11" (letter) or 11" by 17" (tabloid).
6. PDF documents produced by scanning paper documents are inherently inferior to those produced directly from an electronic source. Documents which are only available in paper format should be scanned at a resolution which ensures the pages are legible on both a computer screen and a printed page. We recommend scanning at 300 dpi to balance legibility and file size. If you are unable to produce an electronic document as prescribed here, please call us to discuss other options.
7. In addition to the digital submittal, we require one (1) complete paper copy of the application and all supporting documents. This must be identical to the digital submittal.

8. Submit any available right-of-way information.
9. **Each application MUST include a Project Map** that clearly identifies the termini of the project, Proximity to Community Assets and Network Connectivity through the use of a one (1) mile radius buffer for Shared Use Path projects and a one-half (½) mile radius buffer for Sidewalk projects. Maximum map size is 11" x 17".
10. In addition, all maps MUST include a **Scale** (in subdivisions of a mile), **North Arrow**, **Title** and **Legend**. Photographs are optional.

~~VTPO~~ **R2CTPO** staff will provide assistance in completing an application  
to any member local government that requests it.



**2014 2015 Application for Project Prioritization – FEASIBILITY STUDY**

**XU Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects**

**Project Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Applicant (project sponsor):** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Contact Person:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Job Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Address:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Phone:** \_\_\_\_\_ **FAX:** \_\_\_\_\_

**E-mail:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Governmental entity with maintenance responsibility for roadway facility on which proposed project is located:** \_\_\_\_\_

*[If not the same as Applicant, attach letter of support for proposed project from the responsible entity. This letter of support must include a statement describing the responsible entity’s expectations for maintenance of the proposed improvements, i.e., what the applicant’s responsibility will be.]*

**Priority of this proposed project relative to other applications submitted by the Applicant:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Description:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Location** (include project length and termini, if appropriate, and attach location map): \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Eligibility for XU SUFunds** (check the appropriate box):

- the proposed improvement is located on the Federal-aid system;
- the proposed improvement is **not** located on the Federal-aid system, but qualifies as a type of improvement identified in 23 U.S.C. §133 that is not restricted to the Federal-aid system.

**Project Purpose and Need Statement:**

In the space provided below, describe the purpose and need for this proposed project. It is very important that the Purpose and Need Statement is clear and complete. It will be the principle consideration in ranking the project application for a feasibility study. It must convince the public and decision-makers that the expenditure of funds is necessary and worthwhile and that the priority the project is being given relative to other needed transportation projects is warranted. The Purpose and Need Statement will also help to define the scope for the feasibility study, the consideration of alternatives (if appropriate), and project design.

The purpose is analogous to the problem. It should focus on particular issues regarding the transportation system (e.g., mobility and/or safety). Other important issues to be addressed by the project should be identified as ancillary benefits. The purpose should be stated in one or two sentences as the positive outcome that is expected. For example, “The purpose is to provide a connection between a park and a school.” It



should avoid stating a solution as a purpose, such as: “The purpose of the project is to add a sidewalk.” It should be stated broadly enough so that no valid solutions will be dismissed prematurely.

The need should establish the evidence that the problem exists, or will exist if anticipated conditions are realized. It should support the assertion made in the Purpose Statement. For example, if the Purpose Statement is based on safety improvements, the Need Statement should support the assertion that there is or will be a safety problem to be corrected. When applying for a feasibility study, you should support your Need Statement with the best available evidence. However, you will not be expected to undertake new studies.

**Commentary:**

---



**2014 2015 Application for Project Prioritization – PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION**

**XU Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects**

**Project Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Applicant (project sponsor):** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

[Attach a copy of the completed Feasibility Study, or explain in the space provided below for commentary why a Feasibility Study is not attached.]

**Commentary:** \_\_\_\_\_

Attach a completed copy of FDOT’s Project Information Application Form.

**Criteria Summary:**

Priority Criteria	Points
(1) Proximity to Community Assets	30
(2) Connectivity	30
(3) Safety	25
(4) Public Support/Special Considerations	5
(5) Local Matching Funds > 10%	10
(6) Value-Added Tie Breaker (if necessary)	variable
<b>Total (excluding Value-Added Tie Breaker)</b>	<b>100</b>

**Criterion #1 – Proximity to Community Assets (30 points max.)**

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a one (1) mile radius for Shared Use Paths or a one-half (½) mile radius for Sidewalks. A maximum of 30 points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

List and describe how the facilities link directly to community assets and who is being served by the facility. Show each of the Community Assets on a Project Area Map through the use of a buffer: a one (1) mile radius for Shared Use Path projects or a one-half (½) mile radius for Sidewalk projects.

Proximity to Community Assets	Check All that Apply	Max. Points
Residential developments, apartments, community housing	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
Activity centers, town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
Medical/health facilities, nursing homes, assisted living, rehabilitation center	<input type="checkbox"/>	5

School bus stop	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
Schools	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
<b>Maximum Point Assessment</b>		<b>30</b>

**Criterion #1 Description (if needed):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Criterion #2 – Connectivity (30 points max.)**

This measure considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, bike paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities.

List and describe how this project fits into the local and regional bicycle/pedestrian networks and/or a transit facility. Depict this on the map and describe in the document.

<b>Network Connectivity</b>	<b>Check All that Apply</b>	<b>Max. Points</b>
Project provides access to a transit facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	10
Project has been identified as “needed” in an adopted document (e.g., comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)	<input type="checkbox"/>	10
<b>Maximum Point Assessment</b>		<b>30</b>

**Criterion #2 Description (if needed):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Criterion #3 – Safety (25 points max.)**

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant numbers of safety concerns.

List and describe whether the proposed facility is located within a “hazardous walk/bike zone” in the [Volusia River to Sea](#) TPO planning area and provide documentation that illustrates how bicycle or pedestrian safety could be enhanced by the construction of this facility.

<b>Safety</b>	<b>Check All that Apply</b>	<b>Max. Points</b>
The project is located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia or Flagler County School District Student Transportation Services and within the <a href="#">Volusia River to Sea</a> TPO planning area. If applicable, provide documentation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	15
The project removes or reduces potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto). There is a pattern of bike/ped crashes along the project route. If applicable, provide documentation such as photos or video of current situation/site or any supportive statistics or studies.	<input type="checkbox"/>	10
<b>Maximum Point Assessment</b>		<b>25</b>

**Criterion #3 Description (if needed):** \_\_\_\_\_

For more information, contact Volusia or Flagler County School District Student Transportation Services and refer to Florida Statute 1006.23.

**Criterion #4 – Public Support/Special Considerations (5 points max.)**

Describe whether the proposed facility has public support and provide documentation (e.g., letters of support/signed petitions/public comments from community groups, homeowners associations, school administrators). Describe any special issues or concerns that are not being addressed by the other criteria.

Special Considerations	Check All that Apply	Max. Points
Is documented public support provided for the project? Are there any special issues or concerns?	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
<b>Maximum Point Assessment</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>5</b>

**Criterion #4 Description (if needed):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Criterion #5 – Local Matching Funds > 10% of Total Project Cost (10 points max.)**

If local matching funds greater than 10% of the estimated project cost are available, describe the local matching fund package in detail.

<b>Local Matching Funds &gt; 10%</b>	Check One	Max. Points
<del>Is a local matching fund package greater than 10% of the estimated project cost documented for the project?</del> <u>Is the Applicant committing to a local match greater than 10% of the estimated total project cost?</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
10.0% < Local Matching Funds < 12.5%	<input type="checkbox"/>	1
12.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 15.0%	<input type="checkbox"/>	2
15.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 17.5%	<input type="checkbox"/>	3
17.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 20.0%	<input type="checkbox"/>	4
20.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 22.5%	<input type="checkbox"/>	5
22.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 25.0%	<input type="checkbox"/>	6
25.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 27.5%	<input type="checkbox"/>	7
27.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 30.0%	<input type="checkbox"/>	8
30.0% ≤ Local Matching Funds < 32.5%	<input type="checkbox"/>	9
32.5% ≤ Local Matching Funds	<input type="checkbox"/>	10
<b>Maximum Point Assessment</b>		<b>10</b>

**Criterion #5 Description (if needed):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Criterion #6 – Value-Added Tie Breaker (if necessary) (variable points)**

Projects with equal scores after evaluations using the five Project Proposal Criteria are subject to the Value-Added Tie Breaker. The BPAC and Project Review Subcommittee are authorized to award tie breaker points based on the additional value added by the project. A written explanation of the circumstances and amount of tie breaker points awarded for each project will be provided.

~~Volusia~~ River to Sea TPO  
~~2014~~ 2015 Priority Process for  
~~XU~~ Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects

Feasibility Studies

1. Local government submits project(s)
2. BPAC reviews and ranks projects for feasibility studies
3. The TPO Board will approve a final ranking of all projects
4. TPO requests a Fee Proposal from consultant to perform a feasibility study
5. TPO schedules a scoping meeting with the consultant, FDOT and local government(s)
6. Consultant provides Fee Proposal to TPO
7. Local government pays the 10% local match for the feasibility study based on the Fee Proposal. TPO pays the majority of the cost for a consultant to perform feasibility studies on the highest ranking projects. (Local governments can bypass the TPO Study if they pay for the feasibility study themselves.)
8. TPO gives the consultant a Notice to Proceed on the feasibility study
9. Draft feasibility study is reviewed and approved by the TPO, FDOT and local government(s)
10. Final feasibility study is completed

Project Implementation

1. Local government submits project(s) and an official letter agreeing to pay 10% of the programmed project implementation cost, and agreeing to pay for any cost overruns
2. BPAC reviews and ranks projects for project implementation
3. The TPO Board will approve a final ranking of all projects
4. TPO coordinates with FDOT to program the project in the next available fiscal year of the FDOT Work Program
5. Construction of top ranked project: 2-4 years



**THIS FORM SHALL BE SUBMITTED FOR ALL PROJECTS  
NOT CURRENTLY IN THE FDOT WORK PROGRAM.  
FDOT PROJECT INFORMATION APPLICATION FORM**

**DATE: 9/17/2014**

**1. Project Information:**

Project ID (SR, CR, Etc...): [Click here to enter text.](#)

From/At (South or West Termini): [Click here to enter text.](#)

To (North or East Termini): [Click here to enter text.](#)

County: [Choose an item.](#)

Project Length (Miles): [Click here to enter text.](#)

Project Type: [Choose an item.](#)      *If other, please specify:* [Click here to enter text.](#)

**2. Title of Project Priority List and Project Ranking:** [Click here to enter text.](#)

**Central Florida MPO Alliance List and Project Ranking (if applicable):** [Click here to enter text.](#)

**3. Managing Agency Contact Information:**

Applicant: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Contact Person: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Title: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Address: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Phone Number: [Click here to enter text.](#)

E-mail Address: [Click here to enter text.](#)

**4. Phase(s) Being Requested (double click on box to select appropriate box):**

Study

PD&E

Design

Right-of-way

Construction

Other:

**5. Project Description:**

a. Project Scope/Description (please be as detailed as possible): [Click here to enter text.](#)

b. What fiscal year will this project be ready for production/construction:

Work Type	Requested Fiscal Year (July 1-June 30)
Planning Development (Corridor or Feasibility Study)	Click here to enter text.
Project Development and Environment Study (PD&E)	Click here to enter text.
Design	Click here to enter text.
Right-of-way Acquisition	Click here to enter text.
Construction/CEI	Click here to enter text.
Other	Click here to enter text.

c. Please state the purpose and need for this project.

Click here to enter text.

d. What data from the statement above was obtained and/or used to support this analysis?

**Note:** If a study was done, then please provide a copy of the study. If no study was done, please provide documentation to support the need of the project and that the proposed improvements will address the issue.

Click here to enter text.

e. Is this project within 5 miles of a Public Airport? If yes, which one(s)?

Click here to enter text.

f. Is this facility a designated SIS corridor, connector, or hub or adjacent to a SIS facility?

Click here to enter text.

g. Is this project on a transit route? If yes, which one(s)?

Click here to enter text.

h. Is this project within the Federal Aid system? Choose an item.

(If yes, FDOT staff needs to verify and check here )

## 6. Consistency with Local and MPO Plans

a. Is this project consistent with the Local Government Comprehensive Plan? Choose an item.

If yes, please attach a copy of the page in the Comprehensive Plan.

If no, please state when an amendment will be processed to include the project in the Plan.

Click here to enter text.

b. Is the project in an MPO Cost Feasible component of the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)?

Choose an item.

If yes, please attach a copy of the page in the LRTP.

If no, please state when an amendment will be done to include the project in the LRTP (if applicable). [Click here to enter text.](#)

**7. Other Information:**

- a. Has the Applying Agency been certified by FDOT to perform the work under the Local Agency Program (LAP) process? Choose an item.

What year was the agency last certified? [Click here to enter text.](#)

- 8. If this is a non-state road project, to be located outside of State Right-of-Way, is there sufficient right-of-way for the project is currently owned by the local government entity?

**If yes, please provide proof of right-of-way ownership (right-of-way certification, right-of-way maps or maintenance maps).**

[Click here to enter text.](#)

**Provide an estimate of the total cost of the project phase(s) and attach supporting documents that supports the requested phase estimate (i.e. man-hour estimate and rates, equipment cost and right of way cost).**

Work Type	Phase Complete? Yes/No/NA	Responsible Agency (Who performed or who will perform the work?)	Procurement Method? In-house/Advertise	Project Cost Estimate
Planning Development (Corridor or Feasibility Study)	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">\$Click here to enter text.</a>
Project Development and Environment Study (PD&E)	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">\$Click here to enter text.</a>
Design	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">\$Click here to enter text.</a>
Right-of-way Acquisition	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">\$Click here to enter text.</a>
Construction	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">\$Click here to enter text.</a>
CEI	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">\$Click here to enter text.</a>
Other:	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>	<a href="#">Choose an item.</a>	<a href="#">\$Click here to enter text.</a>
<b>Total Project Cost Estimate:</b>	<a href="#">\$Click here to enter text.</a>			



- **Include a map showing location of the area of interest. Label important features, roadways, or additional description to help FDOT identify the location and understand the nature of the project.**
- **When requesting the Construction phase please include the following documents, if available:**
  - Signed and sealed plans
  - Engineer's estimate
  - Bid Documents and Specifications Package
  - Signed LAP Construction Checklist
  - Right of Way Certification
  - Environmental Certification
  - All necessary permits