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I. Call to Order / Roll Call / Determination of Quorum/Pledge of Allegiance

Chairperson Deyette called the meeting of the River to Sea Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) to order at 1:15 p.m. The roll was called and it was determined that a quorum was present.

II. Press/Citizen Comments

There were no press/citizen comments.
III. Action Items

A. Review and Approval of April 17, 2018 CAC Meeting Minutes

MOTION: A motion was made by Mr. Peterson to approve the April 17, 2018 CAC meeting minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Blais and carried unanimously.

B. Review and Recommend Approval of Resolution 2018-## Amending the FY 2017/18 to 2021/22 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Chairperson Deyette stated this proposed amendment revises funding for one existing project, deletes one existing project, and programs funding for one new project.

Ms. Nicoulin stated this TIP amendment is revising funding in the current year; adding funding to the construction phase of the West French Avenue Sidewalk from North Carpenter Avenue to Valentine Park of an additional $50,917. The project being deleted is the Spring-to-Spring Trail from West Highbanks Road to Detroit Terrace and the addition of the Spring-to-Spring Trail from Donald Smith Boulevard to DeBary Plantation Road.

MOTION: A motion was made by Mr. Storke to recommend approval of Resolution 2018-## amending the FY 2017/18 to 2021/22 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The motion was seconded by Mr. Blais and carried unanimously.

IV. Presentation Items

A. Presentation and Discussion of the Draft R2CTPO Transportation Systems Management & Operations (TSM&O) Master Plan

Ms. Nicoulin introduced Mr. Dale Cody, Metric Engineering, to give the presentation.

Mr. Cody gave a PowerPoint presentation of the draft R2CTPO Transportation Systems Management & Operations (TSM&O) Master Plan and stated they have worked with the TPO staff, stakeholders and others in the region to develop Phase 2 of the TSM&O Master Plan. The plan will be available later this week for review. He explained that TSM&O means using technology to leverage existing roadway infrastructure. Typically, these are managed with real time operations. He explained what TSM&O does; detector failure, assist with evacuations, incident management and surveillance. It allows the ability to stretch funds to benefit the entire region. Phase 2 builds on Phase 1 which included stakeholder involvement and established goals, objectives and a vision statement. Phase 2 consisted of six tasks; he reviewed those tasks. This phase created a comprehensive list of potentially applicable TSM&O technologies and ranked the roadways that are good candidates for TSM&O based on capacity, safety, evacuation significance, traffic significance, beach connections and existing ITS infrastructure. He reviewed the applicable strategies including active traffic and event management. He stated there will initially be three regional deployments; East Volusia, West Volusia and Flagler County. He reviewed the deployment costs and stated it is important to consider the operations and maintenance costs along with the capital costs. The benefit cost ratio is for every dollar spent, there is $12 in benefits. He reviewed the FHWA required documents for TSM&O. He stated the draft Master Plan will be posted on the TPO website next week and encouraged members to provide comments. There must be a regional cooperation with all the municipalities to share in the funding and resources both in the capital costs and maintenance and operations. In this region, there are three entities that operate and maintain these systems; Volusia County, Daytona Beach and Palm Coast. They will develop the allocations regionally and create staffing levels appropriate to meet everyone’s needs.

Mr. Peterson asked what funding might be available from the state and federal governments to help with the cost.
Mr. Cody replied there are options such as safety funds or grants. It is not so much about the ability to get the capital funding but understanding the operations and maintenance costs have to come from the local level. The municipalities have to come together as a community to figure out what can be done regarding operations and maintenance.

Ms. Foltz commented that Broward County’s transportation is funded with impact fees. She asked what can be done if there is not a similar dedicated source for funding available.

Mr. Cody replied it could be done on a contractual basis or it could be one person in the morning and one person in the afternoon at a computer obtaining data and managing all three management systems. There will need to be analysis for this data that could be contracted out; there must be people to send out to make the repairs.

Ms. Nicoulin stated the TPO is trying to shift away from how projects have always been funded; to get outside of the jurisdictional boundaries and wants to do this regionally. The idea is how to make improvements and manage it without jurisdictional boundaries.

Discussion continued.

Ms. Nicoulin stated a link will be provided to the draft TSM&O Master Plan later this week and to send any questions to her. It will be back next month for a recommendation for adoption.

B. Presentation and Discussion of the Central Florida Regional Transit Study

Mr. Wang gave a PowerPoint presentation of the Central Florida Regional Transit Study (RTS) and stated it was conducted by FDOT and the Central Florida MPO Alliance (CFMPOA) that grew out of a study in the southeast part of District 5 for the Deseret Ranch (33,000 acres) development of regional impact (DRI). The purpose of the study is to estimate the potential regional market demand for transit and identify a regional transit framework and vision. Part of the scope of the study was data collection that included a review of existing plans and visions in the region. The benefit of the RTS is to have all MPOs and local transit providers to come together and decide how to develop regional transit in Central Florida over the next twenty to forty years. He reviewed the schedule and stated a draft report will be available after it has been presented to all the MPOs involved; he reviewed those organizations. A traffic model was used to identify trip patterns in 2040 and 2060. He showed several maps depicting the existing transit routes throughout the region. He reviewed the cost feasible network and the needs network; it was identified there is not a good connection between East and West Volusia County. He reviewed the urbanized trip production maps for 2040 and 2060 and stated they were compared county-by-county. He noted the top ten major activity centers for 2040 and 2060; Daytona Beach is number three. He explained the findings and stated no major regional services as a capital investment are needed as a result of the forecast but more support for enhanced services in localized markets due to demand is needed. Support for the expansion of the SunRail system is greatly needed for the region. He showed a map for the 2040 and 206 long term vision plan showing future service including a conceptual SunRail expansion from Orlando to Polk County and connecting East Volusia to Deland. The BrightLine light-rail service could potentially connect South Florida to Central Florida. This is a long range forecast based on data from the last model which was the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The influence of the advancement in technology that could affect transit is still unknown. Funding changes for transit are also a concern.

Mr. Peterson commented that most of the maps shown do not include Flagler County; Palm Coast is the second largest city in the River to Sea TPO planning area. A lot of Flagler County residents commute to Volusia County for work. None of the maps shown have routes or expansion for transit from Volusia into Flagler County. He feels Flagler County has been completely excluded from this analysis.

Mr. Wang replied FDOT used the current planning boundary which included parts of Flagler County, including Palm Coast. He will send his comments to FDOT.
Mr. Peterson stated Palm Coast has 80% of Flagler County’s population. Palm Coast already has massive DRIs west of US 1 which currently are not part of the TPO planning area but will be at some point. This map shows major corridors but nothing going north into Flagler County. He hopes they look at what is projected for Flagler County and revamp some of their opinions.

Mr. Wang replied they used the data that is currently available.

Mr. Blais stated he thinks there should be a corridor from I-75 to I-95 north of Ormond Beach because everything is going to Orlando; there needs to be a connector that bypasses Orlando.

Ms. Habel asked if there were plans to extend SunRail to DeLand for 2040 or 2060.

Mr. Wang replied the study used all the documents currently available and the SunRail Commission plan still supports the Phase 2 North extension to DeLand.

Ms. Habel asked what the timeframe is for that extension.

Mr. Wang replied there is no timeframe due to lack of funding.

C. Presentation and Discussion of the Draft 2018 List of Priority Projects

(Handout)

Ms. Nicoulin explained the draft List of Priority Projects (LOPP) included in the agenda packet are the seven lists that the TPO develops; the Strategic Intermodal Systems (SIS), Non-SIS, Traffic Operations and Safety, Bicycle/Pedestrian, SUN Trail, Transportation Planning studies and Transit lists. The list in the agenda is in underline and strikethrough format; the prior list was adopted last year and has been updated to reflect changes that have taken place over this year. She reviewed the notable changes to the lists and stated the updates to the SIS list came from FDOT, and projects that have been funded have moved from Tier B to Tier A. The new projects added on page 37 came in through the annual Call for Projects and were scored by the TIP Subcommittee. Tier C contains projects awaiting feasibility studies; three new feasibility study projects have been added. The Bicycle/Pedestrian new projects were reviewed by the BPAC Project Review Subcommittee and scored. There was a technical issue when combining the lists and one page was left out of the agenda; it is provided as a handout. This is a draft list; the TPO is still waiting for a few municipalities to provide updated cost estimates and those will be added once received.

Mr. Peterson referred to page 38, the Tier B Projects Ready for Funding for Planning Studies and commented it looks like projects submitted in 2018 have been ranked higher than projects submitted in prior years. He asked how the TPO ensures recent projects that have been presented for inclusion have priority over projects that have been on the list.

Ms. Nicoulin replied projects are added to the list based on their project score; the score received were higher than the project score for the prior projects. The scoring criteria have remained the same. These projects ranked higher regardless of when they were submitted.

Mr. Peterson asked if the older projects were reviewed to see if they might now score higher.

Ms. Nicoulin replied the score should not change unless they resubmit the project and provide additional information.

Ms. Gillespie commented there was a meeting regarding the St. Johns River to Sea trail connector PD&E study from New Smyrna Beach to Port Orange and another meeting is scheduled for September. It was stated that nothing would be submitted until after that September meeting. The project is totally up in the air; there were 82 people that attended the meeting and 82 different routes were suggested.

Mr. Peterson clarified his statement that he does not want new projects to receive priority over existing projects on the priority list.
Ms. Habel referred to the Clyde Morris Boulevard widening project and asked what the status was; the PD&E study was completed in 2016.

Ms. Nicoulin replied this project has been deferred in the FDOT Work Program. They have looked at the design but right-of-way funding has been deferred.

Ms. Habel asked the status of the SR 44/Kepler Road intersection improvement project for the roundabout. It has been her experience that Florida drivers do not understand roundabouts.

Ms. Nicoulin replied she does not have the timeframe for this project. It was a project previously on the priority list, it was removed and resubmitted this year. FDOT completed a feasibility study on the roundabout which is why it went directly to the project implementation list.

Ms. Habel commented there is a traffic light there and she did not understand why the project was for a roundabout.

Ms. Nicoulin replied it would replace the traffic light. The LOPP will be presented to the TCC and the TPO Board and be back for a recommendation for approval next month.

D. Presentation and Discussion of the Draft FY 2018/19 to 2022/23 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Ms. Nicoulin stated this is the time of year the TPO develops the new Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which overlaps with the LOPP. This provides the full circle of project development with the LOPP, which gets incorporated in to FDOT’s Work Program adopted by the state and then incorporated into the TIP. The TIP is the TPO’s five-year Work Program of transportation funding activity and includes construction, right-of-way, maintenance, landscaping, lighting, engineering and design. It includes projects that come from the TPO’s Call for Projects as well as projects that come from FDOT. The current year is dropped and a new fifth year is added in year 2023. A link to the TIP and a comparison report of the TIP was provided in the agenda packet. The TPO recommends members look at projects in their respective areas. She highlighted some projects in the new TIP. She encouraged members to review the document and provide any comments. The draft TIP will be back next month for a recommendation of approval.

Ms. Gillespie stated she has been on the TIP Subcommittee for several years and she only saw 28 projects for scoring. She asked if there were subcommittees that reviewed the other projects such as the aviation related projects.

Ms. Nicoulin replied the TPO has two subcommittees that review the projects that come in through the Call for Projects; the TIP Subcommittee and BPAC Project Review Subcommittee. These other projects come directly from FDOT; projects that they are funding. The projects reviewed by the TPO’s subcommittees are projects that use the TPO’s SU funding. These aviation projects do not access SU funds so that is why they do not go through the subcommittees to be reviewed and ranked.

Ms. Gillespie commented the New Smyrna Beach Airport was not mentioned and asked if it was included in the aviation projects.

Ms. Nicoulin replied yes; she will confirm and follow up with her.

E. FDOT Report

Ms. Schoelzel stated all projects are on schedule, the report is provided in the agenda and she will be happy to answer any questions.

Mr. Peterson stated there was a resurfacing project on I-95 mentioned last month and he commented that on I-95 from US 1 to SR 40 in Volusia County is particularly bad; especially the right lanes. He welcomes the
work that will be done in Flagler County but does not want this stretch of I-95 in Volusia County to be ignored as it is very bad and needs to be looked at.

Ms. Nicoulin replied that Ms. Wyche did research that; there were a number of projects already programmed that overlap that area. She will confirm and get back to him.

Mr. Blais asked if resurfacing and paving are on a scheduled maintenance program.

Ms. Schoelzel replied yes; they check every three years to see if work is needed; if work is needed sooner, it is done sooner.

Ms. Foltz referred to the I-95/I-4 Interchange project and asked if it was on schedule; the report shows completion for Fall 2018.

Ms. Schoelzel replied she will check on it and confirm but she has not heard that it will not meet the schedule.

F. Volusia and Flagler County Construction Reports

Ms. Winsett stated the Volusia County Construction Report is on page 67 of the agenda; several projects have advanced to construction or the bidding process and are shown in underline format. The county is coordinating with FDOT on the design of several projects. The Williamson Boulevard design projects are public/private partnership projects where the county is collecting a proportionate fair share from the developers that owe for mitigation and impacts in that area; this is north of LPGA Boulevard.

The Flagler County Construction Report was provided in the agenda

V. Staff Comments

→ Update on the “Tell the TPO” Survey

Ms. Nicoulin stated the “Tell the TPO” survey went live April 30, 2018 and a link was emailed to members specific to the CAC. The TPO is keeping track of how many people complete the survey using the CAC link for the competition between committees. As of today, 686 total responses have been received; the goal is 2,000. The CAC has 31 responses as of today. She referred to the survey website and stated the toolbox has suggested language for members to forward to their friends, family, and coworkers and anyone that lives, works or visits Volusia and Flagler Counties. The survey will remain open until June 30, 2018.

Ms. Elliott stated she did not receive the email link.

Ms. Nicoulin replied it will be resent to her.

Ms. Habel stated she did not receive the link either and she has already completed the survey and forwarded it.

Ms. Nicoulin replied the survey responses are still received and recorded but not attributed to the CAC.

Ms. Blankenship stated she would send the link out again today.

→ Update on the Roundtable of Volusia County Elected Officials

Ms. Nicoulin stated at the last meeting of the Roundtable of Volusia County Elected Officials it was decided that the proposed half-cent sales tax would not be moving forward and it will not be on the ballot in November.
→ Update on Regional Truck Parking Study

Ms. Nicoulin stated the TPO has not received the final study yet. She referred to the concern of the relocation of the Longwood Truck Stop in Seminole County to Volusia County and stated neither Volusia County nor the TPO support that and there was a resolution passed some years ago to this fact. Volusia County has passed a new resolution reconfirming its opposition to that truck stop. This particular study is not related to moving that truck stop but looks at the demand and capacity of what is available and where there are gaps in the system in the ten county region.

VI. CAC Member Comments

Mr. Blais asked how many cities do not have LAP certified personnel; there was a lot of time spent last month discussing the Holly Hill sidewalk projects. The county was going to help because Holly Hill did not have LAP certified personnel and now they say Holly Hill has to do it. He suggested that from now on it needs to be known if a city has LAP certified personnel when a project comes in to avoid this type of problem.

Ms. Nicoulin replied the TPO could look into that; LAP certification is project specific so at the time the project is submitted, the city may not be LAP certified; however, it is not until the project is ready to be programmed that they have to be LAP certified.

Ms. Gillespie commented the TIP Subcommittee did ask that question and those that needed LAP certification did have representatives at the meeting to discuss it.

Mr. Cheney explained the project was submitted by Holly Hill as they were working to get their LAP certification. When the county does a LAP project and the design in-house, they are only reimbursed approximately $0.50 on the dollar so they lose money which is why the county has a policy not to assist the smaller cities. However, the county worked out a deal with Holly Hill to make up the difference. Part of the county’s recommendation to Holly Hill to save them money was to take the design of the five sidewalk projects and bundle them into one design. How it would be constructed was going to be decided when funding became available.

Mr. Blais thanked him for that explanation.

VII. Information Items

→ CAC & TCC Attendance Records
→ April 25, 2018 River to Sea TPO Board Meeting Summary
→ April TPO Outreach and Events

VII. Adjournment

There being no further business, the CAC meeting adjourned at 2:48 p.m.

RIVER TO SEA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Ms. Janette Deyette, Chairperson
Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC)

Certificate:
The undersigned duly qualified and acting Recording Secretary of the River to Sea TPO certified that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the minutes of the May 15, 2018 regular meeting of the Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC), approved and duly signed this 19th day of June 2018.

Debbie Stewart, Recording Secretary
River to Sea Transportation Planning Organization
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Rank</th>
<th>FDOT FM#</th>
<th>Study Name</th>
<th>Study Limits</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Programmed (Year)</th>
<th>Estimated Total Study Cost</th>
<th>Year Submitted</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mason Avenue Corridor Study</td>
<td>from Riverside Dr to Williamson Blvd</td>
<td>Daytona Beach</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>FDOT is committed to a &quot;partnership&quot;, but can't initiate before FY-17/18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>SR 44 &amp; Beresford Avenue Extension</td>
<td>from Kepler Rd to SR 44</td>
<td>DeLand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>34.88</td>
<td>Related to Traffic Ops, Tier B Priority #6 - SR 44/Kepler Rd Roundabout project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>LPGA Blvd Corridor Management Plan</td>
<td>LPGA Blvd from US 92 to SR 5A (Nova Rd)</td>
<td>Volusia County</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>33.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>North Normandy Blvd Corridor Improvement Study</td>
<td>from Graves Ave to Firwood Dr</td>
<td>Deltona</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>32.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beachside Neighborhood Pedestrian Safety, Mobility &amp; Access</td>
<td>beachside in Daytona Beach</td>
<td>Daytona Beach</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ft Florida Road Planning Study</td>
<td>US 17</td>
<td>DeBary</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>31.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hand Avenue Extension</td>
<td>Westernly extension from Williamson Blvd across I-95; including widening of Hand Ave from Shangri-La Dr to Williamson Blvd</td>
<td>Ormond Beach</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>30.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>West extension of DeLand Greenway</td>
<td>From Alabama Greenway Trail to Spring to Spring Trail</td>
<td>DeLand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$25,000 to $55,000</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>29.89</td>
<td>Multiple alignments between Minnesota Av and Euclid Av will be considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saxon Blvd Flyover</td>
<td>from I-4 to North Normandy Blvd in the Saxon Blvd area</td>
<td>Deltona</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>29.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>811</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Smyrna Beach Trolley Feasibility Study</td>
<td>City-wide</td>
<td>New Smyrna Beach</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>27.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Smyrna Beach US 1 Complete Streets</td>
<td>South city limit to north city limit</td>
<td>New Smyrna Beach</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1013</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flagler Beach Multi-Modal Hub Study</td>
<td>City-wide</td>
<td>Flagler Beach</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1414</td>
<td></td>
<td>Daytona Beach Bicycle &amp; Pedestrian Master Plan</td>
<td>City-wide</td>
<td>Daytona Beach</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$115,000</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>24.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## River to Sea TPO List of Prioritized Transportation Planning Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Rank</th>
<th>FDOT FM#</th>
<th>Study Name</th>
<th>Study Limits</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Programmed (Year)</th>
<th>Estimated Total Study Cost</th>
<th>Year Submitted</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4392201</td>
<td>I-95/LPGA Blvd Interchange Modifications</td>
<td>at LPGA Blvd</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>(not scored)</td>
<td>SIS Needs List; Preliminary Engineering Study underway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4362921</td>
<td>I-95/Pioneer Trail New Interchange</td>
<td>at Pioneer Trail</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>(not scored)</td>
<td>Volusia County-Funded Projects List; PD&amp;E Study underway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I-95/Maytown Rd New Interchange</td>
<td>at Maytown Rd</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(not scored)</td>
<td><strong>Note: Project was submitted during 2018 Call for Projects and was ranked by the TIP Subcommittee. Subsequent discussions with FDOT determined that the project does not qualify for this list and will not be included.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>I-95/SR 44 Interchange Modifications</td>
<td>at SR 44</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>(not scored)</td>
<td></td>
<td>SIS Needs List; Interchange Improvements/Safety &amp; Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>SR 15 (US 17) Safety Study</td>
<td>SR 40 to Putnam Co. Line</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>(not scored)</td>
<td></td>
<td>SIS Needs List; Safety Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBD – to be determined
TELL THE TPO!  
HERE'S HOW:

INDIVIDUALS
Please complete the TPO survey for a chance to win a 2 night hotel stay for 2! Then share the link on your social media outlets and with friends: www.TelltheTPO.com

EMPLOYERS
Email the www.TelltheTPO.com link to your employees, clients and contact lists and encourage them to participate.

GOVERNMENT AGENCIES
Put the www.TelltheTPO.com link on your website and social media outlets, in newsletters, and/or in emails to your employees and stakeholders.

ORGANIZATIONS
Announce the survey in your next newsletter or email blast, and post the www.TelltheTPO.com link on your website and social media outlets.

RESPOND TO WIN!
All responses received by June 30, 2018 will be entered into a drawing for a chance to win a 2 night hotel stay for 2!

ABOUT THE RIVER TO SEA TPO
The River to Sea Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is an independent public service organization responsible for carrying out the urban transportation planning and programming of all federal and state transportation funds for the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA), which includes all of Volusia County and portions of Flagler County, including Beverly Beach and Flagler Beach as well as portions of the cities of Palm Coast and Bunnell.

2018 SURVEY LIVE: APRIL 30TH TO JUNE 30TH
Access the survey at: www.surveymonkey.com/r/R2CTPO_CAC